## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1 to 9 remain in the current application. Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 and 8 have been amended. Applicant thanks the Examiner for his indication that the subject matter of Claim 5 is patentable over the cited references.

Claims 1 to 4 and 6 to 9 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Application No. 2002/0095222 ('222) in view of US Patent No. 7,042,427 to Inukai ('427).

Claim 1 has now been amended to include the subject matter of the system including at least one RGB timer to assist in controlling the primary colours in order to balance the primary colours of the display panel. The Examiner has stated that the '427 reference discloses the subject matter of balancing a luminance of colours which may be combined with the '222 reference to disclose the subject matter of original claim 1.

However, the '427 reference discloses a voltage comparator solution for the balancing the colour output (as between the primary colours) to compensate for aging. As discussed in column 2 lines 48 to 58 of the '427 reference, the system provides a light emitting device which controls the lights by controlling the voltage values being supplied to the OLED. Column 2 lines 59 to 67 provide a further description as to the method being achieved by comparing voltages to determine how balancing of the OLEDs, and monitor pixels, occurs.

Applicant's invention is directed at the use of a timer based solution to balance the primary colours of the display panel. As amended, the system of Claim 1 and the method of Claim 8 relies on the use of timers to monitor/balance the primary colours which differs from the method suggested, taught, disclosed, obviated or anticipated by the '222 reference or the '427 reference when they are read separately or together. For these reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 1 and 8 are patentable over the cited references and that the remaining claims which are either directly or indirectly dependent on claims 1 and 8 are also

Appl. No. 10/663,590 Amendment dated December 21, 2006 Reply to Office Action of August 24, 2006

patentable. Retraction of Examiner's rejection of Claims 1 to 4 and 6 to 9 is respectfully requested.

Yours truly,

LOWLES, Robert J.

By:

Jeffrey W. Wong Practioner no. 46,414

> Borden Ladner Gervais LLP 100 Queen Street, Suite 1100 Ottawa, ON K1P 1J9 Telephone: (519) 741-9100

Facsimile: (519) 741-9149 Email: jwong@blgcanada.com

JWW/ghh Encls.

OTT01\3093954\1